

***b*-Metric Generalization of Some Fixed Point Theorems**

Dayana Roselin D

Department of Mathematics, Auxilium College of Arts and Science for Women, Pudukkottai- 614 602, India

jose.rose80@gmail.com

Abstract

We Show that common fixed point theorems in terms of *b*-metric spaces with new contraction mapping have unique fixed point. Further, we include *b*-metric generalizations of some fixed point theorems of Fisher, Pachpatte, and Sahu and Sharma.

Keywords : *b* – Metric Space, Contraction, Fixed Point.

1 Introduction

Fixed point theory is a fascinating topic for research in both analysis and topology. In this direction the Banach contraction mapping theorem of 1922 popularly known as Banach contraction mapping principle is a rewarding result in fixed point theory. It has widespread applications in both pure and applied mathematics. The well-known Banach [1] contraction mapping principle states that if “*X* is a complete metric space and $T : X \rightarrow X$ is a contraction mapping of *X* into itself then *T* has unique fixed point in *X*.” This celebrated principle has been generalized by several authors. In 1989, Bakhtin [2] introduced the concept of *b*-metric space which is generalization of renowned Banach contraction mapping principle. Czerwik [3, 4] extended the concept of *b*-metric space in 1993. Bakhtin’s concept of *b*-metric spaces has been extensively generalized and improved by several mathematicians for fixed points in several different ways, namely, Boriceanu [5], Bota et al. [6], Chen et al. [7], Hussain and Shah [8], Kutbi et al. [9], and Shukla [10] to name a few. In this paper, our main concern is to study common fixed point theorems in complete *b*-metric spaces for three self-mappings. The obtained results are generalizations of *b*- metric variant of fixed point theorems of Fisher, Pachpatte, and Sahu and Sharma.

The following fixed point theorems were proved in [11– 13].

Theorem 1 [11] Let T be a mapping of the complete metric space X into itself satisfying the inequality

$$[d(Ta, Tb)]^2 \leq a_1[d(a, Ta)d(b, Tb)] + a_2[d(a, Tb)d(b, Ta)],$$

$\forall a, b \in X, 0 \leq a_1 < 1, 0 \leq a_2$, then T has a fixed point in X .

Theorem 2 [12] Let T is a mapping of the complete metric space X into itself satisfying the inequality

$$[d(Ta, Tb)]^2 \leq a_1[d(a, Ta)d(b, Tb) + d(a, Tb)d(b, Ta)] + a_2[d(a, Ta)d(b, Ta) + d(a, Tb)d(b, Tb)],$$

$\forall a, b \in X$, where $a_1, a_2 \geq 0$ and $a_1 + a_2 < 1$, then T has a unique fixed point in X .

Theorem 3 [13] Let T is a mapping of the complete metric space X into itself satisfying the inequality.

$$\begin{aligned} [d(Ta, Tb)]^2 &\leq a_1[d(a, Ta)d(b, Tb) + d(a, Tb)d(b, Ta)] \\ &\quad + a_2[d(a, Ta)d(b, Tb) + d(a, Tb)d(b, Ta)] \\ &\quad + a_3[\{d(b, Ta)\}^2 + \{d(b, Tb)\}^2] \end{aligned}$$

$\forall a, b \in X$, where $a_1, a_2, a_3 \geq 0$ and $a_1 + 2a_2 + a_3 < 1$ then T has a unique fixed point in X .

2. Preliminaries

In this section we recall some basic definitions and necessary results from existing literature that will be used in the sequel.

Definition 4 [3]. Let X be a nonempty set and $s \geq 1$ be a given real number. A function

$d : X \times X \rightarrow R^+$ is said to be a b -metric on X if the following conditions hold:

- (i) $d(x, y) = 0$ if and only if $x = y$.
- (ii) $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$ for all $x, y \in X$.
- (iii) $d(x, y) \leq s(d(x, z) + d(z, y))$ for all $x, y, z \in X$.

The pair (X, d) is called b -metric space.

It is clear from the definition of b -metric that every metric space is b -metric for $s = 1$, but the converse need not be true.

The following example illustrates the above remarks.

Example 5 [5]. Let $X = \{0, 1, 2\}$. Define $d : X \times X \rightarrow R_+$ by

$$d(0, 0) = d(1, 1) = d(2, 2) = 0, d(1, 2) = d(2, 1) = d(1, 0) = d(0, 1) = 1, \text{ and} \\ d(0, 2) = d(2, 0) = m \geq 2 \text{ for } s = m/2.$$

The function defined above is a b -metric space but is not a metric space for $m > 2$.

Proposition 6 [16] Let X be a non empty set and the mapping $T, g, h: X \rightarrow X$ have a unique point of coincidence in X . If $\{T, h\}$ and $\{g, h\}$ are weakly compatible self-maps of X , then T, g, h have a unique fixed point.

Definition 7. A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in a b -metric space (X, d) is called Cauchy sequence if and only if $\lim_{m, n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, x_m) = 0$.

Definition 8. A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in a b -metric space (X, d) is said to converge to a point $x \in X$ if and only if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, x) = 0$. We denote this by $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$.

Definition 9. A b -metric space (X, d) is said to be complete if and only if every Cauchy sequence in X converges to a point of X .

Definition 10 [15]. Let f and g be self-mappings of a set X . If $z = fx = gx$ for some x in X , then x is called a coincidence point of f and g and z is called a point of coincidence of f and g .

Definition 11 [16]. The mappings $f, g : X \rightarrow X$ are weakly compatible, if, for every $x \in X$, the following holds: $f(gx) = g(fx)$ whenever $fx = gx$.

Definition 12. A point $x \in X$ is said to be a fixed point of a self-map $T : X \rightarrow X$ if $T(x) = x$.

3. Main Results

In this section we obtain coincidence points and common fixed point theorems for three maps in complete b -metric spaces. In order to start our main results we begin with a simple but useful Lemma.

Lemma 13. Let (X, d) be a complete b -metric space with the constant coefficient $s \geq 1$ and let $T, g, h : X \rightarrow X$ be self – mapping from X into itself satisfying the following conditions:

i. $T(X) \cup g(X) \subseteq h(X)$

ii.

$$[d(Ta, gb)]^2 \leq a_1[d(ha, Ta)d(hb, gb) + d(hb, hb) + d(ha, gb)d(hb, Ta)] \\ + a_2[d(hb, ga)d(hb, Ta) + d(ha, gb)d(hb, gb)] \text{ -----(3.1)} \\ + a_3\{[d(hb, Ta)]^2\} + a_4\left[\frac{d(hb, gb)}{1 + d(hb, Ta)}\right]^2$$

$$\forall a, b \in X ; a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 \geq 0, \exists: sa_1 + (s^2 + s)a_2 + a_3 + a_4 < 1.$$

Then every sequence $\{b_n\}$ with initial point a_0 is a cauchy's sequence in X .

Proof: Let $a_0 \in X$ and choose a point $a_1 \in X$ such that $ha_1 = Ta_0$ and for a_1 there exist $a_2 \in X$

Such that $ha_2 = ga_1$, continuing this process we construct sequence $\{a_n\}$ and $\{b_n\}$ in X given by

$$\begin{aligned} b_{2n} &= ha_{2n+1} = Ta_{2n} \\ b_{2n+1} &= ha_{2n+2} = ga_{2n+1} \quad \forall n \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that there exists $h \in [0, 1/s)$ such that

$$d(b_n, b_{n+1}) \leq hd(b_{n-1}, b_n) \quad \forall n \geq 1.$$

We show that $\{b_n\}$ is a cauchy sequence in X . Using (3.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} [d(ba_{2n}, b_{2n+1})]^2 &= [d(ha_{2n+1}, ha_{2n+2})]^2 \\ &= [d(Ta_{2n}, ga_{2n+1})]^2 \\ &\leq a_1 [d(ha_{2n}, Ta_{2n})d(ha_{2n+1}, ga_{2n+1}) + d(ha_{2n}, ga_{2n+1})d(ha_{2n+1}, Ta_{2n})] \\ &\quad + a_2 [d(ha_{2n+1}, ga_{2n})d(ha_{2n+1}, Ta_{2n}) + d(ha_{2n}, ga_{2n+1})d(ha_{2n+1}, ga_{2n+1})] \\ &\quad + a_3 [\{d(ha_{2n+1}, Ta_{2n})\}^2 + \{d(ha_{2n+1}, ga_{2n+1})\}^2] \\ &\quad + a_4 \left[\frac{d(ha_{2n+1}, ga_{2n+1})}{1 + d(ha_{2n+1}, Ta_{2n})} \right]^2 \\ &\leq a_1 [d(ha_{2n}, ha_{2n+1})d(ha_{2n+1}, ha_{2n+2})] \\ &\quad + a_2 [d(ha_{2n+1}, ha_{2n+1})d(ha_{2n+1}, ha_{2n+1})] \\ &\quad + d(ha_{2n}, ha_{2n+2})d(ha_{2n+1}, ha_{2n+2})] \\ &\quad + a_3 [\{d(ha_{2n+1}, ha_{2n+1})\}^2 + \{d(ha_{2n+1}, ha_{2n+2})\}^2] \\ &\quad + a_4 \left[\frac{d(ha_{2n+1}, ha_{2n+2})}{1 + d(ha_{2n+1}, ha_{2n+1})} \right]^2 \\ &\leq a_1 [d(b_{2n-1}, b_{2n})d(b_{2n}, b_{2n+1}) + d(b_{2n-1}, b_{2n+1})d(b_{2n}, b_{2n})] \\ &\quad + a_2 [d(b_{2n}, b_{2n})d(b_{2n}, b_{2n}) + d(b_{2n+1}, b_{2n-1})d(b_{2n}, b_{2n+1})] \\ &\quad + a_3 [\{d(b_{2n}, b_{2n})\}^2 + \{d(b_{2n}, b_{2n+1})\}^2] + a_4 \left[\frac{d(b_{2n}, b_{2n+1})}{1 + d(b_{2n}, b_{2n})} \right]^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\leq a_1[d(b_{2n-1}, b_{2n})d(b_{2n}, b_{2n+1}) + a_2[d(b_{2n-1}, b_{2n+1})d(b_{2n}, b_{2n+1})] \\
 &\quad + a_3[\{d(b_{2n}, b_{2n+1}) + a_4d(b_{2n}, b_{2n+1})\}^2] \\
 &\leq d(b_{2n}, b_{2n+1})[a_1d(b_{2n-1}, b_{2n}) + a_2d(b_{2n-1}, b_{2n+1}) \\
 &\quad + a_3d(b_{2n}, b_{2n+1}) + a_4d(b_{2n}, b_{2n+1})] \\
 &\leq a_1d(b_{2n-1}, b_{2n}) + sa_2[d(b_{2n-1}, b_{2n}) + d(b_{2n}, b_{2n+1})] \\
 &\quad + a_3d(b_{2n}, b_{2n+1}) + a_4d(b_{2n}, b_{2n+1}) \\
 &\leq (a_1 + sa_2)d(b_{2n-1}, b_{2n}) + (sa_2 + a_3 + a_4)d(b_{2n}, b_{2n+1})
 \end{aligned}$$

$$[1 - (sa_2 + a_3 + a_4)]d(b_{2n}, b_{2n+1}) \leq (a_1 + sa_2)d(b_{2n-1}, b_{2n})$$

$$d(b_{2n}, b_{2n+1}) \leq \frac{a_1 + sa_2}{[1 - (sa_2 + a_3 + a_4)]} d(b_{2n-1}, b_{2n})$$

$$d(b_{2n}, b_{2n+1}) \leq \lambda d(b_{2n-1}, b_{2n}),$$

$$\text{where } \lambda = \frac{a_1 + sa_2}{[1 - (sa_2 + a_3 + a_4)]s} < \frac{1}{s} < 1,$$

$$d(b_{2n}, b_{2n+1}) \leq \lambda d(b_{2n-1}, b_{2n})$$

$$d(b_{2n+1}, b_{2n+2}) \leq \lambda d(b_{2n}, b_{2n+1}).$$

$\therefore \forall n \in N$, we write

$$d(b_{2n+1}, b_{2n+2}) \leq \lambda d(b_n, b_{n+1}) \leq \dots \leq \lambda^{n+1} d(b_0, b_1)$$

Now, for any $m, n \in N, m > n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 d(b_n, b_m) &\leq sd(b_n, b_{n+1}) + sd(b_{n+1}, b_m) \\
 &\leq sd(b_n, b_{n+1}) + s^2d(b_{n+1}, b_{n+2}) + s^2d(b_{n+2}, b_m) \\
 &\leq sd(b_n, b_{n+1}) + s^2d(b_{n+1}, b_{n+2}) + s^3d(b_{n+2}, b_{n+3}) + \dots + s^{m-n-1}d(b_{m-2}, b_{m-1}) \\
 &\quad + s^{m-n}d(b_{m-1}, b_m) \\
 &\leq [s\lambda^n + s^2\lambda^{n+1} + \dots + s^{m-n}\lambda^{m-1}]d(b_0, b_1) \\
 &\leq \frac{s\lambda^n}{(1 - s\lambda)} d(b_0, b_1).
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

$$d(b_n, b_m) \leq \frac{s\lambda^n}{(1 - s\lambda)} d(b_0, b_1) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

Thus,

$$d(b_n, b_m) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

Hence $\{b_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in b-metric space X.

The next theorem is b-metric variant of Theorem 1.3 in [13].

Theorem 14. Let (X, d) be a complete b-metric space with the coefficient $s \geq 1$ and suppose that the self-maps $T, g, h: X \rightarrow X$ satisfy the conditions

$$\begin{aligned} [d(Ta, gb)]^2 &\leq a_1[d(ha, Ta)d(hb, gb) + d(ha, gb)d(hb, Ta)] \\ &\quad + a_2[d(hb, ga)d(hb, Ta) + d(ha, gb)d(hb, gb)] \\ &\quad + a_3[\{d(hb, Ta)\}^2 + \{d(hb, gb)\}^2] + a_4 \left[\frac{d(hb, gb)}{1 + d(hb, Ta)} \right]^2 \end{aligned} \text{-----(3.2)}$$

For all $a, b \in X$; $a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 \geq 0$, are nonnegative reals with

$$sa_1 + (s^2 + s)a_2 + a_3 + a_4 < 1.$$

If $T(X) \cup g(X) \subseteq h(X)$ is a complete subspace of X, then the maps T, g and h have a coincidence point v in X. Moreover, if $\{T, h\}$ and $\{g, h\}$ are weakly compatible Pairs. Then T, g and h have a unique common fixed point in X.

Proofs: Let a_0 be an arbitrary point in X and define the sequence $\{b_n\}$ in X such that

$$\begin{aligned} b_{2n} &= ha_{2n+1} = Ta_{2n} \\ b_{2n+1} &= ha_{2n+2} = ga_{2n+1} \quad \forall n \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Now, we show that $\{b_n\}$ is a cauchy sequence. so by (4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} [d(ba_{2n}, b_{2n+1})]^2 &= [d(ha_{2n+1}, ha_{2n+2})]^2 \\ &= [d(Ta_{2n}, ga_{2n+1})]^2 \\ &\leq a_1 [d(ha_{2n}, Ta_{2n})d(ha_{2n+1}, ga_{2n+1}) + d(ha_{2n}, ga_{2n+1})d(ha_{2n+1}, Ta_{2n})] \\ &\quad + a_2 [d(ha_{2n+1}, ga_{2n})d(ha_{2n+1}, Ta_{2n}) + d(ha_{2n}, ga_{2n+1})d(ha_{2n+1}, ga_{2n+1})] \\ &\quad + a_3 [\{d(ha_{2n+1}, Ta_{2n})\}^2 + \{d(ha_{2n+1}, ga_{2n+1})\}^2] + a_4 \left[\frac{d(ha_{2n+1}, ga_{2n+1})}{1 + d(ha_{2n+1}, Ta_{2n})} \right]^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\leq a_1 [d(ha_{2n}, ha_{2n+1})d(ha_{2n+1}, ha_{2n+2}) + d(ha_{2n}, ha_{2n+2})d(ha_{2n+1}, ha_{2n+1})] \\
 &+ a_2 [d(ha_{2n+1}, ha_{2n+1})d(ha_{2n+1}, ha_{2n+1}) + d(ha_{2n}, ha_{2n+2})d(ha_{2n+1}, ha_{2n+2})] \\
 &+ a_3 [\{d(ha_{2n+1}, ha_{2n+1})\}^2 + \{d(ha_{2n+1}, ha_{2n+2})\}^2] + a_4 \left[\frac{d(ha_{2n+1}, ha_{2n+2})}{1 + d(ha_{2n+1}, ha_{2n+1})} \right]^2 \\
 &\leq a_1 [d(b_{2n-1}, b_{2n})d(b_{2n}, b_{2n+1}) + d(b_{2n-1}, b_{2n+1})d(b_{2n}, b_{2n})] + a_2 [d(b_{2n}, b_{2n})d(b_{2n}, b_{2n}) + \\
 &\quad d(b_{2n-1}, b_{2n+1})d(b_{2n}, b_{2n+1})] + a_3 [\{d(b_{2n}, b_{2n})\}^2 + \{d(b_{2n}, b_{2n+1})\}^2] + a_4 \left[\frac{d(b_{2n}, b_{2n+1})}{1 + d(b_{2n}, b_{2n})} \right]^2 \\
 &\leq a_1 [d(b_{2n-1}, b_{2n})d(b_{2n}, b_{2n+1})] + a_2 [d(b_{2n-1}, b_{2n+1})d(b_{2n}, b_{2n+1})] \\
 &+ a_3 [\{d(b_{2n}, b_{2n+1})\}^2] + a_4 [d(b_{2n}, b_{2n+1})]^2 \\
 &\leq d(b_{2n}, b_{2n+1}) [a_1 d(b_{2n-1}, b_{2n}) + a_2 d(b_{2n-1}, b_{2n+1}) + a_3 d(b_{2n}, b_{2n+1}) + a_4 d(b_{2n}, b_{2n+1})] \\
 &\leq a_1 [d(b_{2n-1}, b_{2n})] + sa_2 [d(b_{2n-1}, b_{2n}) + d(b_{2n}, b_{2n+1})] + a_3 d(b_{2n}, b_{2n+1}) + a_4 d(b_{2n}, b_{2n+1}) \\
 &\leq (a_1 + sa_2) d(b_{2n-1}, b_{2n}) + (sa_2 + a_3 + a_4) d(b_{2n}, b_{2n+1}) \\
 &[1 - (sa_2 + a_3 + a_4)] d(b_{2n}, b_{2n+1}) \leq (a_1 + sa_2) d(b_{2n-1}, b_{2n}) \\
 &d(b_{2n}, b_{2n+1}) \leq \frac{a_1 + sa_2}{[1 - (sa_2 + a_3 + a_4)]} d(b_{2n-1}, b_{2n}) \\
 &d(b_{2n}, b_{2n+1}) \leq \lambda d(b_{2n-1}, b_{2n}),
 \end{aligned}$$

where $\lambda = \frac{a_1 + sa_2}{[1 - (sa_2 + a_3 + a_4)]} < \frac{1}{s} < 1,$

$$d(b_{2n}, b_{2n+1}) \leq \lambda d(b_{2n-1}, b_{2n})$$

Similarly ,we can show that ,

$$d(b_{2n+1}, b_{2n+2}) \leq \lambda d(b_{2n}, b_{2n+1})$$

Therefore, for all $m, n \in N$ with $m > n$, we can get

$$d(b_{n+1}, b_{n+2}) \leq \lambda d(b_n, b_{n+1}) \leq \dots \leq \lambda^{n+1} d(b_0, b_1)$$

Fix $m > n; m, n \in N$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 d(b_n, b_m) &\leq sd(b_n, b_{n+1}) + sd(b_{n+1}, b_m) \\
 &\leq sd(b_n, b_{n+1}) + s^2 d(b_{n+1}, b_{n+2}) + s^2 d(b_{n+2}, b_m) \\
 &\leq sd(b_n, b_{n+1}) + s^2 d(b_{n+1}, b_{n+2}) + s^3 d(b_{n+2}, b_{n+3}) \\
 &+ \dots + s^{m-n-1} d(b_{m-2}, b_{m-1}) + s^{m-n} d(b_{m-1}, b_m) \\
 &\leq [s\lambda^n + s^2\lambda^{n+1} + s^3\lambda^{n+2} + \dots + s^{m-n}\lambda^{m-1}]d(b_0, b_1) \\
 &\leq \frac{s\lambda^n}{(1-s\lambda)} d(b_0, b_1).
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, as $n \rightarrow \infty, d(b_n, b_m) \rightarrow 0$. It follows from lemma 4.2.1 that $\{b_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence and, by the completeness of X , $\{b_n\}$ converges to some $b \in X$. Therefore,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ta_{2n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} ga_{2n+1} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} ha_{2n+1} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} ha_{2n+2} = b$$

Thus, $T(X) \cup g(X) \subseteq h(X)$ implies either $T(X) \subseteq h(X)$ or $g(X) \subseteq h(X)$.

Case 1. Let $T(X) \subseteq h(X)$. since $h(x)$ is a complete subspace of X and $T(X) \subseteq h(X)$ implies $h(x)$

is closed, hence, there exist $u, b \in X$

Such that $hu=b$. if $gv \neq b$, then, by using 14 we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 [d(Ta_{2n}, gv)]^2 &\leq a_1 [d(ha_{2n}, Ta_{2n})d(hu, gv) + d(ha_{2n}, gv)d(hu, Ta_{2n})] \\
 &+ a_2 [d(hu, ga_{2n})d(hu, Ta_{2n}) + d(ha_{2n}, gv)d(hv, gv)] \\
 &+ a_3 [\{d(hv, Ta_{2n})\}^2 + \{d(hv, gv)\}^2] + a_4 \left[\frac{d(hv, gv)}{1 + d(hv, Ta_{2n})} \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

Taking limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ yields

$$\begin{aligned}
 [d(b, gv)]^2 &\leq a_1 [d(b, b)d(b, gv) + d(b, gv)d(b, b)] \\
 &+ a_2 [d(b, b)d(b, b) + d(b, gv)d(b, gv)] \\
 &+ a_3 [\{d(b, b)\}^2 + \{d(b, gv)\}^2] + a_4 \left[\frac{d(b, gv)}{1 + d(b, b)} \right]^2 \\
 &\leq (a_2 + a_3 + a_4) [d(b, gv)]^2 \\
 &\Rightarrow [1 - (a_2 + a_3 + a_4)] [d(b, gv)]^2 \leq 0
 \end{aligned}$$

And the above inequality is possible only if $[d(b, gv)]^2 = 0$ which implies that $b = gv$. it follows that

$$hv = b = gv$$

Since g and h are weakly compatible, we have $hgv = ghv$ and so

$$gb = hp$$

If $b \neq gb$, then by 3.2 we have

$$\begin{aligned} [d(Ta_{2n}, gb)]^2 &\leq a_1[d(ha_{2n}, Ta_{2n})d(hb, gb) + d(ha_{2n}, gb)d(hb, Ta_{2n})] \\ &\quad + a_2[d(hb, ga_{2n})d(hb, Ta_{2n}) + d(ha_{2n}, gb)d(hb, gb)] \\ &\quad + a_3[\{d(hb, Ta_{2n})\}^2 + \{d(hb, gb)\}^2] + a_4\left[\frac{d(hb, gb)}{1 + d(hb, Ta_{2n})}\right]^2 \end{aligned}$$

As $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} [d(b, gb)]^2 &\leq a_1[d(b, b)d(hb, gb) + d(b, gb)d(hb, b)] \\ &\quad + a_2[d(hb, b)d(hb, b) + d(b, gb)d(hb, gb)] + \\ &\quad a_3[\{d(hb, b)\}^2 + \{d(hb, gb)\}^2] + a_4\left[\frac{d(hb, gb)}{1 + d(hb, b)}\right]^2 \\ &\leq a_1[d(b, b)d(gb, gb) + d(b, gb)d(gb, b)] + a_2[d(gh, b)d(gb, b) + d(b, gb)d(gb, gb)] \\ &\quad + a_3[\{d(gb, b)\}^2 + \{d(gb, gb)\}^2] + a_4\left[\frac{d(gb, gb)}{1 + d(hb, b)}\right]^2 \\ &\leq (a_1 + a_2 + a_3)[\{d(b, gb)\}^2] \\ \Rightarrow [1 - (a_1 + a_2 + a_3)][d(b, gb)]^2 &\leq 0, \end{aligned}$$

And the above inequality is possible only if

$$[d(b, gb)]^2 = 0 \Rightarrow b = gb$$

By using 3.3, we have

$$hb = gb = b. \quad \text{----- (3.4)}$$

Case 2:

If $g(X) \subseteq h(X)$, again there exists points $v, b \in X$ such that $b = hv$ if $b \neq Tv$, and then by using 4.2 we get

$$[d(Tv, gb)]^2 \leq a_1[d(hv, Tv)d(hb, gb) + d(hv, gb)d(hb, Tv)]$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &+ a_2 [d(hb, gb)d(hb, Tv) + d(hb, gb)d(hb, gb)] \\
 &+ a_3 [\{d(hb, Tv)\}^2 + \{d(hb, gb)\}^2] + a_4 \left[\frac{d(hb, gb)}{1 + d(hb, Tv)} \right]^2
 \end{aligned}$$

It follows that,

$$\begin{aligned}
 [d(Tv, b)]^2 &\leq a_1 [d(b, Tv)d(b, b) + d(b, b)d(b, Tv)] + \\
 &a_2 [d(b, b)d(b, Tv) + d(b, b)d(b, b)] + \\
 &a_3 [\{d(b, Tv)\}^2 + \{d(b, b)\}^2] + a_4 \left[\frac{d(b, b)}{1 + d(b, Tv)} \right]^2 \\
 &\leq a_3 [d(b, Tv)]^2 \\
 &\Rightarrow [1 - a_3][d(b, Tv)]^2 \leq 0
 \end{aligned}$$

Which is possible only if

$$\begin{aligned}
 d(b, Tv) = 0 &\Rightarrow b = Tv. \\
 &\Rightarrow Tv = hv = b
 \end{aligned}$$

Since T and h weakly compatible and hence $Thv = hTv$ and so

$$Tb = hb$$

and by 3. 4 we have

$$b = Tb = gb = hb.$$

Thus, b is the common fixed point of self –mappings T, g and h. This completes the proof of the theorem.

Uniqueness. In order to prove uniqueness, let $b_1 \neq b_2$ be two distinct common fixed points of the self –maps T, g and h then we have by 3. 2

$$\begin{aligned}
 [d(b_1 b_2)]^2 &= [d(Tb_1, gb_2)]^2 \\
 &\leq a_1 [d(hb_1, Tb_1)d(hb_2, gb_2) + d(hb_1, gb_2)d(hb_2, Tb_1)] + \\
 &a_2 [d(hb_2, gb_1)d(hb_2, Tb_1) + d(hb_1, gb_2)d(hb_2, gb_2)] + \\
 &a_3 [\{d(hb_2, Tb_1)\}^2 + \{d(hb_2, gb_2)\}^2] + a_4 \left[\frac{d(hb_2, gh_2)}{1 + d(hb_2, Tb_1)} \right]^2
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq a_1[d(b_1, b_1)d(b_2, b_2) + d(b_1, b_2)d(b_2, b_1)] + a_2[d(b_2, b_1)d(b_2, b_1) + d(b_1, b_2)d(b_2, b_2)] \\ &\quad + a_3[\{d(b_2, b_1)\}^2 + \{d(b_2, b_2)\}^2] + a_4\left[\frac{d(b_2, b_2)}{1 + d(b_2, b_1)}\right]^2 \\ &\leq (a_1 + a_2 + a_3)[d(b_1, b_2)]^2 \\ &\Rightarrow [1 - (a_1 + a_2 + a_3)][d(b_1, b_2)]^2 \leq 0, \end{aligned}$$

Which is possible only if $[d(b_1, b_2)] = 0 \Rightarrow b_1 = b_2$ which gives us uniqueness of b .

Remark 15. If we take $a_4=0$, then we get Theorem (14) in [17]

Now we present the modified form of Theorem 3 in terms of b -metric spaces.

Theorem 16.

Let (X, d) be a complete b -metric space with the coefficient $\delta \geq 1$ and suppose the self-maps $T, g, h: X \rightarrow X$ satisfy the condition

$$\begin{aligned} [d(Ta, gb)]^2 &\leq a_1[d(ha, Ta)d(hb, gb)] + \\ &\quad a_2[d(ha, gb)d(hb, gb)(1 + d(Ta, hb))] + \\ &\quad a_3[d(ha, hb)d(hb, gb)(1 + d(Ta, hb))] + a_4[d(ha, gb)d(hb, gb)] \text{-----(3.5)} \\ &\quad a_5[\{d(hb, Ta)\}^2 + \{d(hb, gb)\}^2] + a_6\left[\frac{d(hb, gb) + d(hb, ga)}{1 + d(hb, Ta)d(ha, Ta)}\right]^2 \end{aligned}$$

Where $a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5, a_6 \geq 0$, are non negative real with

$$sa_1 + (s^2 + s)a_2 + sa_3 + (s^2 + s)a_4 + a_5 + a_6 < 1$$

If $T(X) \cup g(X) \subseteq h(X)$ and $h(x)$ is a complete subspace of X , then the maps T, g and h have a coincidence point u in X . Moreover, if $\{T, h\}$ and $\{g, h\}$ are weakly compatible pairs. Then T, g and h have a unique common fixed point in X .

Proof: Let $a_0 \in X$ and defined the sequence $\{b_n\}$ in X as follows,

$$\begin{aligned} b_{2n} &= ha_{2n+1} = Ta_{2n} \\ b_{2n+1} &= ha_{2n+2} = ga_{2n+1}, \quad \forall n \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

By using 3.5, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 [d(b_{2n}, b_{2n+1})]^2 &= [d(ha_{2n+1}, ha_{2n+2})]^2 \\
 &= [d(Ta_{2n}, ga_{2n+1})]^2 \\
 &\leq a_1 [d(ha_{2n}, Ta_{2n})d(ha_{2n+1}, ga_{2n+1})] + \\
 &\quad a_2 [d(ha_{2n}, ga_{2n+1})d(ha_{2n+1}, ga_{2n+1})(1 + d(Ta_{2n}, ha_{2n+1}))] + \\
 &\quad a_3 [d(ha_{2n}, ha_{2n+1})d(ha_{2n+1}, ga_{2n+1})(1 + d(Ta_{2n}, ha_{2n+1}))] + \\
 &\quad a_4 [d(ha_{2n}, ga_{2n+1})d(ha_{2n+1}, ga_{2n+1})] + \\
 &\quad a_5 [\{d(ha_{2n+1}, Ta_{2n})\}^2 + \{d(ha_{2n+1}, ga_{2n+1})\}^2] + \\
 &\quad a_6 \left[\frac{d(ha_{2n+1}, ga_{2n+1}) + d(ha_{2n+1}, ga_{2n+1})}{1 + d(ha_{2n+1}, Ta_{2n})d(ha_{2n}, Ta_{2n})} \right]^2 \\
 \\
 &\leq a_1 [d(ha_{2n}, ha_{2n+1})d(ha_{2n+1}, ha_{2n+2})] + \\
 &\quad a_2 [d(ha_{2n}, ha_{2n+2})d(ha_{2n+1}, ha_{2n+2})(1 + d(ha_{2n+1}, ha_{2n+1}))] + \\
 &\quad a_3 [d(ha_{2n}, ha_{2n+1})d(ha_{2n+1}, ha_{2n+2})(1 + d(ha_{2n+1}, ha_{2n+1}))] + \\
 &\quad a_4 [d(ha_{2n}, ha_{2n+1})d(ha_{2n+1}, ha_{2n+2})] + \\
 &\quad a_5 [\{d(ha_{2n+1}, ha_{2n+1})\}^2 + \{d(ha_{2n+1}, ha_{2n+2})\}^2] + \\
 &\quad a_6 \left[\frac{d(ha_{2n+1}, ha_{2n+2}) + d(ha_{2n+1}, ha_{2n+1})}{1 + d(ha_{2n+1}, ha_{2n+1})d(ha_{2n}, ha_{2n+1})} \right]^2 \\
 \\
 &\leq a_1 [d(b_{2n-1}, b_{2n})d(b_{2n}, b_{2n+1})] + \\
 &\quad a_2 [d(b_{2n-1}, b_{2n+1})d(b_{2n}, b_{2n+1})(1 + d(b_{2n}, b_{2n}))] + \\
 &\quad a_3 [d(b_{2n-1}, b_{2n})d(b_{2n}, b_{2n+1})(1 + d(b_{2n}, b_{2n}))] + \\
 &\quad a_4 [d(b_{2n-1}, b_{2n+1})d(b_{2n}, b_{2n+1})] + \\
 &\quad a_5 [\{d(b_{2n}, b_{2n})\}^2 + \{d(b_{2n}, b_{2n+1})\}^2] + \\
 &\quad a_6 \left[\frac{d(b_{2n}, b_{2n+1}) + d(b_{2n}, b_{2n})}{1 + d(b_{2n}, b_{2n})d(b_{2n-1}, b_{2n})} \right]^2 \\
 \\
 &\leq a_1 d(b_{2n-1}, b_{2n}) + sa_2 [d(b_{2n-1}, b_{2n}) + d(b_{2n}, b_{2n+1})] + \\
 &\quad a_3 d(b_{2n-1}, b_{2n}) + sa_4 [d(b_{2n-1}, b_{2n}) + d(b_{2n}, b_{2n+1})] + a_5 d(b_{2n}, b_{2n+1}) + \\
 &\quad a_6 d(b_{2n}, b_{2n+1}) \\
 \\
 &\leq (a_1 + sa_2 + a_3 + sa_4)d(b_{2n-1}, b_{2n}) + (sa_2 + sa_4 + a_5 + a_6)d(b_{2n}, b_{2n+1})
 \end{aligned}$$

$$[1 - (sa_2 + sa_4 + a_5 + a_6)]d(b_{2n}, b_{2n+1}) \leq (a_1 + sa_2 + a_3 + sa_4)d(b_{2n-1}, b_{2n})$$

$$d(b_{2n}, b_{2n+1}) \leq \frac{a_1 + sa_2 + a_3 + sa_4}{[1 - (sa_2 + sa_4 + a_5 + a_6)]}d(b_{2n-1}, b_{2n})$$

$$d(b_{2n}, b_{2n+1}) \leq \lambda d(b_{2n-1}, b_{2n}),$$

Where $\lambda = \frac{a_1 + sa_2 + a_3 + sa_4}{[1 - (sa_2 + sa_4 + a_5 + a_6)]} < \frac{1}{s} < 1,$

$$d(b_{2n}, b_{2n+1}) \leq \lambda d(b_{2n-1}, b_{2n})$$

Similarly, it can be shown that, $d(b_{2n+1}, b_{2n+2}) \leq \lambda d(b_{2n}, b_{2n+1}).$

Therefore, for all $n \in N$ we can get

Now, for any $m > n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(b_n, b_m) &\leq d(b_n, b_{n+1}) + d(b_{n+1}, b_{n+2}) + \dots + d(b_{m-1}, b_m) \\ &\leq [\lambda^n + \lambda^{n+1} + \lambda^{n+2} + \dots + \lambda^{m-1}]d(b_0, b_1) \\ &\leq \frac{\lambda^n}{(1 - \lambda)}d(b_0, b_1) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, from Lemma 13, we have

$$d(b_n, b_m) \leq \frac{s\lambda^n}{(1 - s\lambda)}d(b_0, b_1) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } m, n \rightarrow \infty$$

Where $s\lambda < 1$. It follows that the sequence $\{b_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence and by the completeness of X , $\{b_n\}$ converges to some $b \in X$.

Therefore,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ta_{2n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} ga_{2n+1} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} ha_{2n+1} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} ha_{2n+2} = b$$

Since $h(x)$ is a complete subspace of X , there exists $u, b \in X$ such that $hu = b$. If $gu \neq b$, using 3.5 we get

$$\begin{aligned} [d(Ta_{2n}, gu)]^2 &\leq a_1[d(ha_{2n}, Ta_{2n})d(hu, gu)] + \\ &\quad a_2[d(ha_{2n}, gu)d(hu, gu)(1 + d(Ta_{2n}, hu))] + \\ &\quad a_3[d(ha_{2n}, hu)d(hu, gu)(1 + d(Ta_{2n}, hu))] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &+ a_4 [d(ha_{2n}, gu)d(hu, gu)] \\
 &+ a_5 [\{d(hu, Ta_{2n})\}^2 + \{d(hu, gu)\}^2] + a_6 \left[\frac{d(hu, gu) + d(hu, g_{2n})}{1 + d(hu, Ta_{2n})d(ha_{2n}, Ta_{2n})} \right]^2
 \end{aligned}$$

Taking limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$\begin{aligned}
 [d(b, gu)]^2 &\leq a_1 [d(b, b)d(b, gu)] + a_2 [d(b, gu)d(b, gu)(1 + d(b, b))] \\
 &+ a_3 [d(b, b)d(b, gu)(1 + d(b, b))] + a_4 [d(b, gu)d(b, gu)] \\
 &\leq (a_2 + a_4 + a_5 + a_6) [d(b, gu)]^2
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow [1 - (a_2 + a_4 + a_5 + a_6)] [d(b, gu)]^2 \leq 0,$$

Which is possible only if $[d(b, gu)]^2 = 0 \Rightarrow b = gu$. It follows that

$$hu = b = gu$$

since g and h are weakly compatible, we have $hgu = ghg$ and so

$$gb = hb$$

If $b \neq gb$, then by 4.2.4 we have the following.

$$\begin{aligned}
 [d(Ta_{2n}, gb)]^2 &\leq a_1 [d(ha_{2n}, Ta_{2n})d(hb, gb)] + a_2 [d(ha_{2n}, gb)d(hb, gb)(1 + d(Ta_{2n}, hb))] \\
 &+ a_3 [d(ha_{2n}, hb)d(hb, gb)(1 + d(Ta_{2n}, hb))] + a_4 [d(ha_{2n}, gb)d(hb, gb)] \\
 &+ a_5 [\{d(hb, Ta_{2n})\}^2 + \{d(hb, gb)\}^2] + a_6 \left[\frac{d(hb, gb) + d(hb, ga_{2n})}{1 + d(hb, Ta_{2n})d(ha_{2n}, Ta_{2n})} \right]^2
 \end{aligned}$$

As $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 [d(b, gb)]^2 &\leq a_1 [d(b, b)d(b, gb)] + a_2 [d(b, gb)d(b, gb)(1 + d(b, gb))] \\
 &+ a_3 [d(b, gb)d(b, gb)(1 + d(b, gb))] + a_4 [d(b, gb)d(b, gb)] \\
 &+ a_5 [\{d(b, gb)\}^2 + \{d(b, gb)\}^2] + a_6 \left[\frac{d(b, gb) + d(b, gb)}{1 + d(b, b)d(b, b)} \right]^2
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq [(a_5 + a_6)] [d(b, gb)]^2$$

$$\Rightarrow [1 - (a_5 + a_6)] [d(b, gb)]^2 \leq 0,$$

and the above inequality is a possible only if $[d(b, gb)]^2 = 0$

$$\Rightarrow b = gb$$

By using 3.6, we have

$$hb = gb = b.$$

Again , if $b \neq T$ by 3.5 we have the following.

$$[d(Tu, ga_{2n+1})]^2 = [d(Tu, b)]^2$$

$$\leq a_1 [d(hu, Tu) d(ha_{2n+1}, ga_{2n+1})]$$

$$+ a_2 [d(hu, ga_{2n+1}) d(ha_{2n+1}, ga_{2n+1}) (1 + d(Tu, ha_{2n+1}))]$$

$$+ a_3 [d(hu, ga_{2n+1}) d(ha_{2n+1}, ga_{2n+1}) (1 + d(Tu, ha_{2n+1}))]$$

$$+ a_4 [d(hu, ga_{2n+1}) d(ha_{2n+1}, ga_{2n+1})]$$

$$+ a_5 [\{d(ha_{2n+1}, Tu)\}^2 + \{d(ha_{2n+1}, ga_{2n+1})\}^2]$$

$$+ a_6 \left[\frac{d(ha_{2n+1}, ga_{2n+1}) + d(ha_{2n+1}, gu)}{1 + d(ha_{2n+1}, Tu) d(hu, Tu)} \right]^2$$

Taking limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$[d(Tu, b)]^2 \leq a_1 [d(b, Tu) d(b, b)] + a_2 [d(b, b) d(b, b) (1 + d(Tu, b))]$$

$$+ a_3 [d(b, b) d(b, b) (1 + d(Tu, b))] + a_4 [d(b, b) d(b, b)]$$

$$\leq a_5 [d(b, Tu)^2]$$

$$\Rightarrow [1 - a_5] [d(b, Tu)^2] \leq 0,$$

And this is possible only if $[d(Tu, b)]^2 = 0 \Rightarrow b = Tu$. since T and h are weakly

compatible, $Thu = hTu$:

$$\Rightarrow Tb = gb$$

By 3.7 and 3.8 we have

$$\Rightarrow Tb = gb = hb = b$$

Thus, b is the unique common fixed point of T , g and h .

Uniqueness. In order to see the uniqueness of the common fixed point, let b_1 and b_2 be two distinct common fixed points of the self-map T , g and h such that $b_1 \neq b_2$.

Then by using 3.5 we get

$$\begin{aligned} [d(b_1, b_2)]^2 &= [d(Tb_1, gb)]^2 \\ &\leq a_1 [d(hb_1, Tb_1)d(hb_2, gb_2)] \\ &\quad + a_2 [d(hb_1, gb_2)d(hb_2, gb_2)(1 + d(Tb_1, hb_2))] \\ &\quad + a_3 [d(hb_1, hb_2)d(hb_2, gb_2)(1 + d(Tb_1, hb_2))] + a_4 [d(hb_1, gb_2)d(hb_2, gb_2)] \\ &\quad + a_5 [\{d(hb_2, Tb_1)\}^2 + \{d(hb_2, gb)\}^2] + a_6 \left[\frac{d(hb_2, gb_2) + d(hb_2, gb)}{1 + d(hb_2, Tb_1)d(hb_1, Tb_1)} \right]^2 \\ &\leq a_1 [d(b_1, b_1)d(b_2, b_2)] + a_2 [d(b_1, b_2)d(b_2, b_2)(1 + d(b_1, b_2))] \\ &\quad + a_3 [d(b_1, b_2)d(b_2, b_2)(1 + d(b_1, b_2))] + a_4 [d(b_1, b_2)d(b_2, b_2)] \\ &\quad + a_5 [\{d(b_2, b_1)\} + \{d(b_2, b_2)\}^2] + a_6 \left[\frac{d(b_2, b_2) + d(b_2, b_1)}{1 + d(b_2, b_1)d(b_1, b_1)} \right]^2 \\ &\Rightarrow [1 - (a_5 + a_6)] [d(b_1, b_2)]^2 \leq 0, \end{aligned}$$

and the inequality is possible only if $[d(b_1, b_2)]^2 = 0 \Rightarrow b_1 = b_2$ and common fixed point is unique.

The following corollaries are derived from the theorem 16

Corollary 17. let (X, d) be a complete b- metric space with the coefficient $s \geq 1$

and T, g, h be a self –mapping of X into itself satisfying

$$[d(Ta, gb)]^2 \leq a_1[d(ha, Ta)d(hb, gb) + d(hb, gb)d(hb, gb)(1 + d(Ta, hb))] \\ + a_3[d(ha, hb)d(hb, gb)(1 + d(Ta, hb)) + d(ha, gb)d(hb, gb)]$$

For all $a, b \in X$ and $a_1, a_3 \geq 0$, such that $a_1 + (s^2 + 1)a_3 < 1$ then T, g, h have a

Common fixed point in X .

Proof : putting in theorem 4.2.4, we get the required result.

Corollary 18. let (X, d) be a complete b- metric space with the coefficient $s \geq 1$ and $T, g, h: X \rightarrow X$ be a self-mapping of X into itself satisfying the inequality

$$[d(Ta, gb)]^2 \leq a_1[d(ha, Ta)d(hb, gb)] \\ + a_2[d(ha, gb)d(hb, gb)(1 + d(Ta, hb))]$$

For all $a, b \in X$ and $a_1, a_2 \geq 0$, such that $a_1 + (s^2 + s)a_2 < 1$ then T, g, h have a common fixed point in X .

Proof: putting $a_3 = a_5 = a_6 = 0$ in theorem 4.2.4, we get the required result`

Remark 19. corollary 17, which gives the result of Pachpatte

Remark 20. corollary 18, which gives the result of Fisher

References

- [1] S. Banach, “Sur les op´erations dans les ensembles abstraits et leur application aux ´equations integrales,” *Fundamenta Mathematicae*, vol. 3, pp. 133–181, 1922.
- [2] I. A. Bakhtin, “The contraction mapping principle in almost metric space,” *Functional Analysis and its Applications*, vol. 30, pp. 26–37, 1989.

- [3] S. Czerwik, "Contraction mapping in b-metric spaces," *Acta Mathematica et Informatica Universitatis Ostraviensis*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 5–11, 1993.
- [4] S. Czerwik, "Nonlinear set-valued contraction mappings in b-metric spaces," *Atti del Seminario Matematico e Fisico dell'Universit`a di Modena*, vol. 46, no. 2, pp. 263–276, 1998.
- [5] M. Boriceanu, "Strict fixed point theorems for multivalued operators in b-metric spaces," *International Journal of Modern Mathematics*, vol. 4, no. 3, pp. 285–301, 2009.
- [6] M. Bota, A. Molnar, and C. Varga, "On Ekeland's variational principle in b-metric spaces," *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, vol. 12, no. 1, pp. 21–28, 2011.
- [7] C. Chen, L. Wen, J. Dong, and Y. Gu, "Fixed point theorems for generalized F-contraction in b-metric like spaces," *Journal of Nonlinear Sciences and Applications*, vol. 9, no. 5, pp. 2161–2174, 2016.
- [8] N. Hussain and M. H. Shah, "KKM mapping in cone b-metric spaces," *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, vol. 62, no. 4, pp. 1677–1684, 2011.
- [9] M. A. Kutbi, E. Karapinar, J. Ahmad, and A. Azam, "Some fixed point results for multi-valued mappings in b-metric spaces," *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*, vol. 2014, article 126, 2014.
- [10] S. Shukla, "Partial b-metric spaces and fixed point theorems," *Mediterranean Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 11, no. 2, pp. 703–711, 2014.
- [11] B. Fisher, "Fixed point and constant mappings on metric spaces," *Atti della Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei. Rendiconti. Classe di Scienze Fisiche, Matematiche e Naturali*, vol. 61, no. 5, pp. 329–332 (1977), 1976.
- [12] B. G. Pachpatte, "On certain fixed point mappings in metric spaces," *Journal of the Maulana Azad College of Technology*, vol. 13, pp. 59–63, 1980.
- [13] P. L. Sharma and M. K. Sahu, "A unique fixed point theorem in complete metric space," *Acta Ciencia Indica. Mathematics*, vol. 17, no. 4, pp. 685–688, 1991.
- [14] M. Arshad, A. Azam, and P. Vetro, "Some common fixed point results in cone metric spaces," *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, vol. 2009, Article ID 493965, 2009.
- [15] M. Abbas and G. Jungck, "Common fixed point results for noncommuting mappings without continuity in cone metric spaces," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 341, no. 1, pp. 416–420, 2008.

[16] G. Jungck, “Common fixed points for noncontinuous nonself maps on nonmetric spaces,” *Far East Journal of Mathematical Sciences*, vol. 4, no. 2, pp. 199–215, 1996.

[17] Mumtaz Ali and Muhammad Arshad “*b*-Metric Generalization of Some Fixed Point Theorems” Hindawi , *Journal of Function Spaces*, Volume 2018, Article ID 2658653.